•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	I I MAI 1984	Conte 4		
			OBEF	DCI/ICS 85-4215 22 February 1985		
	•			•		
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Robert M. Gat Deputy Direct	tes tor for Intellige	nce		
	VIA:	Director, Intelligence Community Staff				
	FROM:					
		DCI Represent	tative to SIG(Spac	ce) Working Group		
	SUBJECT:	Intelligence Community Assessment of European Reactions to Shuttle Pricing Alternatives				
	options for comm The need for thi meeting with OSW discussion of fa was agreed that	ercial and for s assessment h R/SSD and OGI ctors that wou DSWR/SSD would	reign users of STS nad previously bee personnel. In th nld affect the ESA I take the lead in	sponses to post-1988 pricing services (see attachment). en discussed in a 15 February his meeting, there was also a and Arianespace responses. It preparing the assessment and		
,	options for comm The need for thi meeting with OSW discussion of fa was agreed that would document i 2. This ass meeting of the S (Ms. Peggy Finar deal of informat not yet have been representatives of CIA for inclusion stated that her and requested rep pointed out that result of the US part of the Sect	ercial and for assessment here assessment wou of the two of two of the two of the two of	reign users of STS and previously been personnel. In the lead in the subject of lead in the lead in the lead in the lead in lead in the lead in le	S services (see attachment). En discussed in a 15 February Dis meeting, there was also a A and Arianespace responses. It		
,	options for comm The need for thi meeting with OSW discussion of fa was agreed that would document i 2. This ass meeting of the S (Ms. Peggy Finar deal of informat not yet have been representatives of CIA for inclusion stated that her and requested rep pointed out that result of the US part of the Sective with USTR and con	ercial and for assessment here assessment wou of the two of two of the two of the two of	reign users of STS and previously been personnel. In the lead in the subject of lead in the lead in the lead in the lead in lead in the lead in le	S services (see attachment). In discussed in a 15 February It is meeting, there was also a A and Arianespace responses. It In preparing the assessment and I discussion at the 20 February IASA representative Indicated in its possession a great In ect. Since this information may be commended that CIA and NASA Internation is available to be representative (Ms. Jenna Dorn) Internation on this subject the information on this subject the information was available as a seal consultations with ESA as and Commerce have been working.		

SUBJECT: Intelligence Community Assessment of European Reactions to Shuttler Pricing Alternatives

3. As you probably know, the Shuttle pricing President for resolution unless the SIG(Space) age differences on the issue. The assessment of likel an important element of the decision. Your support	ncies resolve their current y European reactions will be	
is requested.	·	25X1
		25X1

Attachment: as stated

cc: Executive Director, CIA

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Intelligence Community Assessment of European Reactions to Shuttler Pricing Alternatives

Distribution:		
Orig - Addressee		
l - Exec Dir, CIA		
1 - D/OSWR		
1 - OSWR/SSD		25X1
1 - D/OGI		
1 - OGI/CTID/		25X1
1 - NSC/Rye		
l - NASA/Finarelli		
1 - DoT/Dorn		
1 - DoC/Shay		
1 - D/ICS		
1 - D/PPS		
1 - PPS Chrono	A -	
1 - PPS Subject		. •
1 - ICS Registry		
		25X1
DCI/ICS/PPS	(21 February 1985)	23/1

CONFIDENTIAL

20 February 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR:

25X1

DCI Representative to SIG(Space) Working Group

FROM:

Col. Gilbert D. Rye

Director of Space Programs

National Security Council

SUBJECT:

Intelligence Community Assessment of European Reactions to Shuttle Pricing Alternatives

One of the key factors in the Shuttle pricing decision is how ESA and Arianespace are likely to respond to the US pricing policy that is chosen. It would, therefore, be helpful if you could arrange for the preparation of a CIA assessment of the most likely European responses with particular emphasis on their effect on Ariane's launch capacity and pricing policy. I would appreciate it if you would provide this assessment not later then 9 March 1985 so that it can be incorporated into the decision paper that our working group is preparing for SIG(Space) and CCCT consideration.